

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

TELEPHONE: (303) 740-1980

**INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 7TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025**

FACSIMILE: (303) 740-6962

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

AUG 26 2005

Deliver to: Kiss, Eric B., USPTO Art Group: 2192
Facsimile No.: 571-273-3699 Date: August 26, 2005
From: Ashley R. Ott, Reg. No. 55,515
Our Docket No.: 42390P11329 Number of pages 6, including this sheet.
Application No.: 09/552,292 Filing Date: 4/19/2000

Enclosed are the following documents:

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Leah Schwenke
Leah Schwenke

8/26/2005

Date

Confidentiality Note: The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information from the law firm of Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman which is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

If you do not receive all the pages, or if there is any difficulty in receiving, please call: (303) 740-1980 and ask for Leah Schwenke.

AUG 26 2005

PTOL-413A (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/552,292 First Named Applicant: Robison
 Examiner: Kiss, Eric B. Art Unit: 2192 Status of Application: Pending/
Non-final Rejection

Tentative Participants:

(1) Ashley Ott (2) Eric Kiss
 (3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: 08/30/05 Proposed Time: 11 AM/PM

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES

NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej. - 102(b)</u>	<u>1-6,10-17</u>	<u>Kukol</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>Rej. - 112</u>	<u>1-6,10-17</u>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) <u>Proposed Amendment</u>	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet Attached	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Kukol does not disclose placing operations to eliminate partial redundancies, as recited by claim 1.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(h)) as soon as possible.

Ashley Ott

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

Ashley Ott

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

55,515

Registration Number, if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Continuation Sheet: Proposed Amendment to the Claims

1. (Currently Amended) For a computer-executable program that operates on a data structure, where the data structure must have a required state at selected program points, a computer-implemented method of transforming said program comprising:

(A) analyzing the program to determine the state of said data structure at said selected program points;

(B) partitioning said determined state at each said program point into components that may each be set separately;

(C) determining operations to be inserted into the program in order to set each component of the state at each selected program point based on flow equations for an up-safety and a down-safety of setting the state at each selected program point, wherein the operations assure that the data structure will be in an accurate the required state at the selected program points; and

(D) placing said operations to eliminate partial redundancies of said operations.

2. (Currently Amended) The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein the data structure stores items on a first-in-last-out basis.

3. (Currently Amended) The computer-implemented method of claim 2, wherein the states of the data structure are represented as paths on a tree of nodes where:

(A) each path traverses the tree towards the root; and

(B) each node on the path represent a component of the state.

4. (Currently Amended) The computer-implemented method of claim 2, wherein the data structure represents actions to be taken by the program if an exception occurs.

5. (Currently Amended) The computer-implemented method of claim 4, wherein the selected program points are the points of execution immediately before instructions that might cause an exception.

6. (Currently Amended) The computer-implemented method of claim 4, further comprising representing the actions to be taken as exception paths in a graph.

7-9. (Cancelled)

10. (Currently Amended) For a computer-executable program that operates on a data structure, where the data structure must have a required state at selected program points, a computer-implemented method of transforming said program comprising:

(A) analyzing the program to determine the state of an instance of said data structure at said selected program points;

(B) partitioning said instance of said data structure into components;

(C) determining a set of one or more operations to be inserted into the program in order to set each component of the state at each selected program point based on flow equations for an up-safety and a down-safety of setting the state at each selected program point, wherein the operations assure that the data structure will be in an accurate the required state at the selected program points;

(D) computing placement of the set of operations to eliminate partial redundancies; and

(E) inserting the set of operations at said program points according to the computed placement.

11. (Currently Amended) The computer-implemented method of claim 10 wherein the data structure is an exception handling stack.

12. (Currently Amended) The computer-implemented method of claim 11 wherein the components are a pointer to the exception handling stack and an exception handling data structure.

13. (Currently Amended) A machine-readable medium having a set of instructions, which when executed by a set of one or more processors, causes said set of processors to perform operations comprising:

(A) analyzing a program that operates on a data structure, which must have a required state at selected program points in the program, to determine the state of an instance of said data structure at said selected program points;

(B) partitioning said instance of said data structure into components;

(C) determining a set of one or more operations to be inserted into the program in order to set each component of the state at each selected program point based on flow equations for an up-safety and a down-safety of setting the state at each selected program point, wherein the operations assure that the data structure will be in an accurate the required state at the selected program points;

(D) computing placement of the set of operations to eliminate partial redundancies; and

(E) inserting the set of operations at said program points according to the computed placement.

14. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium of claim 13, wherein the data structure stores items on a first-in-last-out basis.

15. (Currently Amended) The machine-readable medium of claim 14, wherein the states of the data structure are represented as paths on a tree of nodes where:

- (A) each path traverses the tree towards the root; and
- (B) each node on the path represent a component of the state.

16. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium of claim 14, wherein the data structure represents actions to be taken by the program if an exception occurs.

17. (Previously Presented) The machine-readable medium of claim 16, wherein the selected program points are the points of execution immediately before instructions that might cause an exception.

18. (Cancelled)